

## PRESENTERS



### **Andrew Barker, Barrister, Auckland**

Andrew is a barrister in practice at Shortland Chambers. He formerly lectured in tort law at the University of Otago. He has written and presented extensively on issues in tort law, and negligence liability in particular, as well as more general issues in commercial litigation.



### **Prof Stephen Todd, University of Canterbury, Christchurch**

Stephen is a Professor of Law at the University of Canterbury and a Professor of Common Law at the University of Nottingham in England. He specialises in the law of torts, and is general editor and principal author of *The Law of Torts in New Zealand*, (published in its 6th edition in 2013). His other main research interest is in the field of contract, and he is a joint author of *The Law of Contract in New Zealand* (4th edition, 2012). In 2006 he became the fourth recipient of the John Fleming Memorial Prize for Torts Scholarship.

*The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*

# CONTENTS

<b>1. TORT AND ITS RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER AREAS OF LAW.....</b>	<b>1</b>
TORT AND ACCIDENT COMPENSATION .....	1
<i>Unwanted pregnancies</i> .....	1
<i>Mental injury caused by criminal conduct</i> .....	11
TORT AND CONTRACT .....	14
<i>Concurrent liability</i> .....	14
<i>Contribution by a contracting party?</i> .....	17
TORT AND EQUITY .....	19
<i>Introduction: the Altmarloch decision</i> .....	19
<i>Contribution in equity</i> .....	20
<i>Impact of Altmarloch</i> .....	23
<b>2. NEGLIGENCE.....</b>	<b>25</b>
METHODOLOGY OF THE DUTY OF CARE.....	25
<i>Introduction</i> .....	25
<i>North Shore City Council v Attorney-General</i> .....	26
<i>An appraisal</i> .....	29
LEAKY BUILDINGS .....	32
<i>Background: Murphy and Hamlin</i> .....	32
<i>Residential buildings: Sunset Terraces, Byron Avenue</i> .....	35
<i>Commercial buildings: Spencer on Byron</i> .....	37
<i>An appraisal of the defective building cases</i> .....	42
<i>Claims against the Building Industry Authority: Sacramento, The Grange</i> .....	47
<i>Directors of building companies: Siena Villas</i> .....	48
LIABILITY OF PUBLIC AUTHORITIES.....	49
<i>Introduction</i> .....	49
<i>The problem presented by public authorities</i> .....	50
<i>Different approaches to the duty of care on a public authority</i> .....	51
<i>The basic approach</i> .....	53
<i>Does the statutory scheme exclude a duty of care?</i> .....	53
<i>The discretionary/policy/operational distinction</i> .....	54
<i>Omissions (non-feasance) vs positive action (misfeasance)</i> .....	55
<i>Control</i> .....	55
<i>Plaintiff's own negligence or fault</i> .....	56
<i>Separate action by the public authority creating a relationship of proximity</i> .....	57
<i>Conclusion</i> .....	58
LIABILITY FOR THE PROVISION OF FINANCIAL ADVICE.....	59
<i>Introduction</i> .....	59
<i>The liability of a financial advisor</i> .....	60
<i>Liability of lawyers</i> .....	63
<i>Conclusions</i> .....	66
<b>3. VICARIOUS LIABILITY .....</b>	<b>69</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	69
WHOSE TORT?.....	69
POLICY BASIS .....	72
PERSONAL LIABILITY IN RESPECT OF THE CONDUCT OF ANOTHER .....	74
<i>Local authority landlord and tenant</i> .....	75
<i>Probation service and parolee</i> .....	76
<i>Nightclub owner and patron</i> .....	78
RELATIONSHIPS GIVING RISE TO VICARIOUS LIABILITY .....	80
<i>Relationships analogous to employment</i> .....	80
<i>Dual vicarious liability</i> .....	83
THE CONNECTION BETWEEN THE RELATIONSHIP AND THE WRONG.....	83
<i>The 'close connection' test</i> .....	84
<i>Drawing the line</i> .....	87
<i>The authority of agents</i> .....	89

<b>4. THE ECONOMIC TORTS .....</b>	<b>93</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	93
ALLEN v FLOOD AND THE DIFFICULTIES THAT FOLLOWED .....	93
NEW ZEALAND CASES PRE-OBG v ALLAN .....	95
OBG v ALLAN .....	96
THE RECEPTION IN NEW ZEALAND OF OBG v ALLAN – DIVER v LOKTRONIC INDUSTRIES LTD .....	99
CONCLUSION.....	102
<b>5. DAMAGES.....</b>	<b>103</b>
INTRODUCTION .....	103
REMOTENESS .....	104
<i>The traditional approach to remoteness in negligence</i> .....	104
<i>The scope of the duty of care – SAAMCO</i> .....	105
<i>Sherwin Chan &amp; Walshe Ltd v Jones</i> .....	108
<i>Scott v Wilson</i> .....	110
MARLBOROUGH DISTRICT COUNCIL v ALTIMARLOCH .....	111
CONCLUSION.....	114
<b>6. LIMITATION OF ACTIONS .....</b>	<b>115</b>
OVERVIEW .....	115
ACCRUAL OF A CAUSE OF ACTION FOR NEGLIGENCE .....	116
<i>General principles</i> .....	116
<i>Date of damage</i> .....	117
<i>Contingencies</i> .....	117
<i>Some examples</i> .....	119
LATENT DEFECTS IN PROPERTY .....	121
<i>Date of accrual in defective building cases</i> .....	121
<i>The longstop bar</i> .....	122
<i>Successive owners</i> .....	124
THE LIMITATION ACT 2010.....	129
<i>Primary period, late knowledge period and longstop period</i> .....	130
<i>Overview</i> .....	133
<b>7. TORTS AND LITIGATION .....</b>	<b>135</b>
REPRESENTATIVE ACTIONS.....	135
<i>Introduction</i> .....	135
<i>What is a representative action?</i> .....	136
<i>The Feltex Litigation</i> .....	137
MAINTENANCE AND CHAMPERTY .....	140
<i>Introduction</i> .....	140
<i>Feltex litigation</i> .....	140
<i>Kain v Wynn Williams &amp; Co</i> .....	143
EXPERT WITNESS IMMUNITY .....	144
<i>Introduction</i> .....	144
<b>8. MISCELLANEOUS DEVELOPMENTS.....</b>	<b>149</b>
THE DOCTRINE OF EX TURPI CAUSA NON ORITUR ACTIO .....	149
INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION INTO SECLUSION .....	152
DEFAMATION AND THE INTERNET .....	155
JOINT TORTFEASORS .....	158