

# CONTENTS

<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. CONTRACTING WITH COMPANIES</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3. NOMINEE CONTRACTS</b>	<b>77</b>
FORM, EFFECT AND COMMON USE OF NOMINEE CONTRACTS	77
UNIDENTIFIED NOMINEES AND THE CONTRACTS (PRIVITY) ACT 1982	78
AVOIDING NOMINEE CONFERRALS/ ENSURING NOMINEE CONFERRALS	82
THE RIGHTS OF THE ORIGINAL CONTRACTING PARTIES AFTER NOMINATION	83
<b>4. UNDISCLOSED PRINCIPALS</b>	<b>85</b>
WHAT IS AN “UNDISCLOSED PRINCIPAL”?	85
WHO CAN SUE?	86
CASELAW	87
<i>Armstrong v Stokes</i>	87
<i>Cooke &amp; Sons v Eshelby</i>	88
<i>Keighley, Maxsted &amp; Co v Durant</i>	88
<i>Said v Butt</i>	89
<i>Freeman &amp; Lockyer v Buckhurst Park Properties (Mangal) Limited</i>	89
<i>Teheran-Europe Co Limited v ST Belton (Tractors) Limited</i>	90
<i>Welsh Development Agency v Export Finance Co Limited</i>	91
<i>Siu Yin Kwan v Eastern Insurance Co Limited</i>	92
THE SIGNIFICANCE OF PERSONALITY	93
ELECTION	94
WHICH THEORY WORKS BEST ?	95
CONCLUSION	96
<b>5. CONTRACTING WITH TRUSTS</b>	<b>97</b>
HOW DO TRUSTS CONTRACT?	97
THE LIABILITY OF TRUSTEES WHEN CONTRACTING ON BEHALF OF TRUSTS	98
CREDITORS’ RIGHTS AGAINST TRUSTEES AND TRUST ASSETS	99
“TRADING TRUSTS” – WHAT ARE THEY?	101
THE RISKS OF CONTRACTING WITH TRADING TRUSTS	102
<i>Misfeasance by directors of a corporate trustee</i>	104
<b>6. PARTNERSHIPS</b>	<b>107</b>
HOW DO THEY DIFFER FROM COMPANIES?	107
CONTRACTING WITH AN UNLIMITED (ORDINARY) PARTNERSHIP	107
PARTNER LIABILITY, CREDITOR REMEDIES	110
LIMITED PARTNERSHIPS	112
<b>APPENDIX 1</b>	<b>115</b>
COMPANY LAW PROBLEM	115
<b>APPENDIX 2</b>	<b>117</b>
FACT SITUATION (AGENCY)	117
<b>APPENDIX 3</b>	<b>119</b>
PRESENTATION NOTES – PROFESSOR PETER WATTS	119
INTRODUCTION TO COMPANY CONTRACTING	120
A FALLACY ABOUT COMPANY CONTRACTING	120
THE BASIC TOOLS OF AGENCY LAW	122
ACTUAL AUTHORITY I—THE BASICS	122
ACTUAL AUTHORITY II—NO NEED TO PROVE IT UNTIL TRIAL	124
ACTUAL AUTHORITY III—SOURCES, WHEN THE PRINCIPAL IS A COMPANY	126
ACTUAL AUTHORITY IV—DISHONESTY REMOVES ACTUAL AUTHORITY	126

APPARENT AUTHORITY I—THE BASIC ELEMENTS.....	128
APPARENT AUTHORITY II—USUAL, AS AGAINST FACT-SPECIFIC, HOLDINGS OUT .....	128
APPARENT AUTHORITY III—ESTABLISHING A REPRESENTATION AT BOARD LEVEL.....	130
APPARENT AUTHORITY IV—ESTABLISHING A HOLDING OUT BELOW BOARD LEVEL .....	130
APPARENT AUTHORITY V—REPRESENTATION MUST ULTIMATELY BE EXTERNAL TO THE AGENT.....	132
APPARENT AUTHORITY VI—REPRESENTATION MUST BE KNOWN TO O IN ORDER TO BE RELIED UPON ...	132
APPARENT AUTHORITY VII—ABSENCE OF OBVIOUS BENEFIT TO P DOES NOT, BUT PRESENCE OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST CAN, REMOVE APPARENT AUTHORITY .....	132
CONSTRUCTIVE AUTHORITY I— <i>HAMBRO V BURNAND</i> (1904) OR THE DISHONESTY EXCEPTION.....	134
CONSTRUCTIVE AUTHORITY II—AGENT IN POSSESSION OF PROPERTY, OR SIGNED DOCUMENT, WITH LIMITED AUTHORITY TO DISPOSE.....	134
CONSTRUCTIVE AUTHORITY III—THE POWER OF DEEDS .....	134
PRESUMPTIONS OF REGULARITY I—COMMON LAW AND STATUTORY .....	136
PRESUMPTIONS OF REGULARITY II—ACT NOT LIMITED TO PROCEDURAL IRREGULARITIES.....	138
PRESUMPTIONS OF REGULARITY III—TESTS OF KNOWLEDGE OF IRREGULARITY .....	138

*The statements and conclusions contained in this booklet are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.*